Version 1.2, Nov 2002

ACTION: If no, contact lab for submission of copy of completed COC.

OLIN-WILMINGTON LEVEL I DATA QUALITY EVALUATION STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE AND CHECKLIST ICP METALS BY METHOD 6010B/200.7

Reviewer/Date Chris Recarde	3/17/10
Sr. Review/Date	
Lab Report # 25553	
Project # 6107 1000/6	27

	Al, Cr, Fe only		
1.0	Laboratory Deliverable Requirements		
	1.1 Laboratory Information: Was all of the following provided in the laboratory rep Check items received.	rt? Yes No N/A Comm Sample identification – Field and Labora Contact (IDs must be cross-referenced)	,
ACT	TION: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or illegible information.		
	1.2 Laboratory Report Certification Statement	Yes [No [N/A [Comm	nents:
Does	the laboratory report include a completed Analytical Report Certification in the requ	red format?	
ACTI	ION: If no, contact lab for submission of missing certification or certification with co	ect format.	
	1.3 Laboratory Case Narrative:	Yes[V] No[] N/A[] Comn	nents:
	☐ Narrative serves as an exception report for the project and method QA/QC on the	performance. Di Narrative includes an ex	xplanation of each discrepancy
		Certification State	tement.
ACTI	ION: If no, contact lab for submission of missing or illegible information.		
	1.4 Chain of Custody (COC) copy present with all documentation completed	Yes [] No [] N/A [] Comm	ents:
	NOTE: Olin receives and maintains the original COC.		

1.5 Sample Rece	eipt Information (Cooler Receipt Form	present?):	Yes []	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
Were each of the into the laboratory	e following tasks completed and recorded /?	upon receipt of the sample(s)				
	firmed: must be 1° – 10° C. (If samples we sample condition observed pH verified					ature requirement does not apply).
ACTION: If no, contact la	ab for submission of missing or incomplete	documentation.	/			
1.5.1 W	ere all samples delivered to the laboratory	vithout breakage?	Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:
wi	oes the Cooler Receipt Form or Lab Nar th sample receipt, condition of the samples cumstances affecting the quality of the data	analytical problems or special	Yes []	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:
	sults Section: Was each of the following port for each sample?	requirements supplied in the	Yes []	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:
☐ Field ID and Lab ID ☐ Clean-up method ☐ Matrix	Date and time collected Analysis method Target analytes and concentrations	Avalyst Initials Preparation method Un		reparation/		moisture or solids
ACTION: If no, contact l	ab for submission of missing or incomplete	information.	/			
	ormation: Was each of the following for each sample batch?	information supplied in the	Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:

/					
Method b	olank results 🖸 LCS recoveries 🖸 MS/MSD recoveries and RPDs 🗀 Laborator	y duplicate re	sults (where	applicable)	
ACTION: I	f no, contact lab for submission of missing or incomplete information.	CSD /	MSD		
2.0 <u>Hol</u>	ding Times				
exce	chnical holding times, determined from date of collection to date of analysis, been eeded? Holding time for metals is 180 days from sample collection to analysis for both er and soil.	Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A]	Comments:
NOTE: List	samples that exceed hold time with # of days exceeded on checklist				
(UJ	If technical holding times are exceeded, qualify all positive results (J) and non-detects). If grossly exceeded (2X holding time) reject (R) all non-detect results.		/		
3.1	Was the correct laboratory method used?	Yes 🗸	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
	Water Digestion Soil Digestion Metals 3005A or 3010A or 3020A 3050B 6010B or 200.7				
compared	I: If no, contact laboratory to provide justification for method change to the requested method. Contact senior chemist to inform Client of change quest variance.				
		/	/		
3.2	Are the practical quantitation limits the same as those specified by the ☐ SOW ☐ QAPP ☐ Lab ☐ MADEP	Yes [No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
NOTE: Ve	erify that the reported metals match the target list specified on the COC.				

	: If no, evaluate variation with respect to sample matrix, preparation, dilution, etc. If sample PQL is indeterminate, contact lab for explanation.		/			
3.3	Are results present for each sample in the SDG?	Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:	
ACTION: If	no, check Request for Analysis to verify if method was ordered and COC to verify that i	it was sent, a	nd contact la	ab for resubm	ission of the miss	sing data
3.4	If dilutions were required, were dilution factors reported?	Yes [V]	No []	N/A []	Comments:	
ACTION: If	no, contact the lab for submission.					
4.0 <u>Me</u>	thod Blanks		/			
4.1	Is the Method Blank Summary present?	Yes [No [_]	N/A []	Comments:	
ACTION	: If no, call the laboratory for submission of missing data.	/	1			
4.2	Frequency of Analysis: Was a method blank analyzed for each digestion batch of < 20 field samples?	Yes 🗾	No []	N/A []	Comments:	
	: If no, contact laboratory for justification. Consult senior chemist for action farrate non-compliance.			/		
4.3	Is the method blank less than the PQLs for all target elements?	Yes 1 1	No []	N/A []	Comments:	EN 156 MY
NOTE: Management	IADEP requires the method blank to be matrix matched and digested with the	/				
4.4 the f	Do any method blanks have positive results for metals? Qualify data according to following:	Yes [No []	N/A [_]	Comments:	Fle 1.56 My All values in Samples much
6010.doc	Lab	B	quals	removi	ed	Ma Ana

If the sample concentration is < 5 × blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at	the
PQL or the concentration reported if greater than the PQL.	

If the sample concentration is $> 5 \times$ blank value, no qualification is needed.

ACTION: For any blank with positive results, list all contaminants for each method blank including the concentration detected and the flagging level (flagging level = 5x the blank value) and the associated samples and qualifiers.

5.	0 <u>Labo</u>	oratory Control Standard	1		
	5.1	Was a laboratory control standard run with each analytical batch of 20 samples or less?	Yes 🗾	No [_] N/A [_]	Comments:
	ACTION:	target, second source LCS is required by MADEP. Call laboratory for LCS form submittal. If data are not available, use lyudgement to evaluate data accuracy associated with that batch.	/	,	
	5.2	Is a LCS Summary Form present?	Yes [_/	No [N/A [Comments:
	ACTION:	If no, contact lab for resubmission of missing data.			
	5.3	Is the recovery of any analyte outside of MADEP control limits? MADEP	Yes []	No No N/A N/A	Comments:
	Samp	ole Type % Rec			
	Wate				
	Soil	within Lab generated limits			
		If recovery is above the upper limit, qualify all positive sample results			
		batch as (J). If recovery is below the lower limit, qualify all positive and			
		results within the batch as (J). If LCS recovery is <30%, positive and non-			
	detect resul	ts are rejected (R).			

Comments:

Matrix Spikes 6.0

Matrix spikes may be collected at different frequencies based on monthly, quarterly, or task specific schedules. Confirm spike requirements for each set with the senior chemist.

6.1 Were project-specific MS/MSDs collected? List project samples that were spiked.

ACTION: If no, contact senior chemist to see if any were specified.

Is the Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Recovery Form present? 6.2

NOTE: A full target, second source MS/MSD is required by MADEP.

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, call lab for resubmission.

Were matrix spikes analyzed as indicated on the COC and project 6.3 schedule?

ACTION: If any matrix spike data are missing, call lab for resubmission. If none, no qualification is needed. Narrate non-compliance.

Are any metal spike recoveries outside of the QC limits? 6.4

	MADEP	QAPP	
Sample Type	% Rec	% Rec	Method
Water	75-125	N/A	6010B
Water	N/A	70-130	200.7
Soil	75-125	75-125	6010B

NOTE:
$$%R = (SSR-SR) \times 100\%$$

Where: SSR = Spiked sample result SR = Sample result

SA = Spike added

NOTE: If dilutions are required due to high sample concentrations (> 4X spike), the data are evaluated, but no flags are applied.

/			
Yes [No []	N/A [_]	Comments:
Yes [No [_]	N/A [_]	Comments:
Yes [V]	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
	1		

AI, Fe > 4x spila. No evaluation
Possible.

NOTE: If only one of the recoveries for an MS/MSD pair is outside of the control limits, no qualification is necessary. Use professional judgment for the MS/MSD flags.

ACTION: MS/MSD flags only apply to the sample spiked. If the recoveries of the MS and MSD exceed the upper control limit, qualify positive results as estimated (J). If the recoveries of the MS and MSD are lower than the lower control limit, qualify positive results and non-detects (J).

6.5 Are any RPDs for MS/MSD recoveries outside of the QC limits?

Yes No No N/A Comments

NOTE: RPD = S-D x 100%

Where: S = MS sample result

(S+D)/2 D = MSD sample result

NOTE: If dilutions are required due to high sample concentrations, the data are evaluated, but no flags are applied.

ACTION: If the RPD exceeds the control limit, qualify positive results and non-detects (J).

7.0 Laboratory Duplicate

7.1 Was a laboratory duplicate sample analyzed? If so, is the Laboratory Yes No N/A O Comments Duplicate Sample Form present?

NOTE: MADEP refers to this sample as a "matrix duplicate".

ACTION: If not analyzed, qualification is not needed. If data is missing, contact laboratory for resubmission of report. Narrate non-compliance.

7.2 Is the RPD between the result for the laboratory duplicate sample and the result for the parent sample outside of the QA/QC limits?

Yes No NA Comments

MADEP Laboratory Duplicate Sample RPD Criteria:	QAPP RPD
For aqueous results > $5 \times RL$, RPD must be $\pm 20\%$	20
For aqueous results < 5× RL, RPD must be ≤ RL	20
For soil/sediment results > $5 \times RL$, RPD must be $\pm 35\%$	20
For soil/sediment results $< 5 \times RL$, RPD must be $\le 2 \times RL$	20

ACTION: If the RPD exceeds the limits, qualify both positive results and non-detects as estimated and flag them J. Narrate non-compliance

8.0 Sampling Accuracy

The majority of ground water samples are collected directly from a tap, process stream, or with dedicated tubing. Rinse blanks will not be collected.

- 8.1 Were rinsate blanks collected? Prior to evaluating rinsate blanks, obtain a list of the associated samples from the senior chemist.
- 8.2 Do any rinsate blanks have positive results?

NOTE: MADEP does not require the collection of rinsate blanks.

ACTION: Evaluate rinsate results against blank results to determine if contaminant may be laboratory-derived. If results are not lab-related, qualify according to below.

If the sample concentration is \leq 5 \times blank value, flag sample result non-detect "U" at the PQL or the concentration reported if greater than the PQL.

If the sample concentration is $> 5 \times$ blank value, no qualification is needed.

9.0 Field Duplicates

9.1 Were field duplicate samples collected? Obtain a list of samples and their associated field duplicates.



	9.2 Were field duplicates collected per the required frequency?	Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A []	Comments:
SC	OW QAPP (1 per 10) MADEP Option 1 (1 per 20) MADEP Option 3 (1 per 1	10) 🗇	/		
ACTI	 9.3 Was the RPD ≤ 50% for soils or waters? Calculate the RPD for all results attach to this review. ON: RPD must be ≤50% for soil and water. Qualify data (J) for both sample re 		No [_]	N/A [_]	comments:
ACII	ON: RPD must be \$30% for son and water. Quanty data (3) for both sample re	suits if the RPD	exceeds 30	70.	
10.0	Special QA/QC			/	/
	10.1 Were both total and dissolved metals analysis performed? If so, dissolved metal concentration should not exceed that of the total metal.	, the Yes [_]	No [_]	N/A	Comments:

ACTION: If results for both total and dissolved are $\geq 5x$ the PQL and the dissolved concentration is 10% higher than the total, flag both results as estimated (J). If total and dissolved concentrations are less than 5x the PQL and the difference exceeds 2x the PQL, flag both results as estimated (J)

10.0	Application of Validation Qualifiers	
	Was any of the data qualified?	Yes No No NA Comments

If so, apply data qualifiers directly to the DQE copy of laboratory report and flag pages for entry in database.

REFERENCES

- LAW, 1999, "Final Quality Assurance Project Plan, Olin Wilmington Property, 51 Eames Street, Wilmington, MA", LAW Engineering and Environmental Services, Kennesaw, GA 30144. August 1999
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 1989. "Region 1 Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines For Evaluating Inorganic Analyses"; Hazardous Site Evaluation Division; February 1989.
- MADEP, 2001. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, "Massachusetts Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) Requirements." BWSC-CAM, Interim Final Draft, Revision No. 2, 5 October 2001.
- MADEP, 2001. Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Waste Site Cleanup, "Quality Assurance and Quality Control Guidelines for Sampling, Data Evaluation and Reporting Activities," BWSC-CAM, Section VII, Public Comment Draft, Revision No. 0, 21 December 2001.

		Sample		Dup		RPD
OC-SD-SD-SD1-0.0/0.5	Percent Moisture	44		42		5
OC-SD-SD-SD1-0.0/0.5	Percent Solids	56		58		-4
OC-SD-SD-SD1-0.0/0.5	Aluminum	9200		11000		-18
OC-SD-SD-SD1-0.0/0.5	Chromium	21		22		-5
OC-SD-SD-SD1-0.0/0.5	Iron	11000	В	18000	В	-48